Sh. Gursewak Singh, S/o Shri Amarjit Singh R/o Village Bhamba Landa, Tehsil and Distt. Ferozepur PSIC unisside the thormation Contraction

-----Appellant

-----Respondent

Vs.

REGISTERED POST:

Public Information Officer, O/o DDPO, Ferozepur

First Appellate Authority O/o DDPO, Ferozepur

Appeal Case No. 1446 of 2019 alongwith Appeal Case no. 1445 of 2019

Present : (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant (ii) For the respondent : Sh. Dhanwant Singh, Panchayat Secretary ORDERS

This order may be read with reference to the previous orders dated 01.09.2020 vide which compensation was enhanced.

2. Today, the appellant is absent.

3. The Respondent states that the required information has already been given to the appellant and has sent the acknowledgment given by the appellant in token of having received the information. He further states that the compensation has already been given to the appellant.

4. Since, the orders of the Commission have been complied with, no cause of action is left, hence, the appeal filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated : 03.11.2020

(Preety Chawla) State Information Commissioner Punjab



Sh Atul Goyal, (M-9815233099) Partner M/s SRG Infotech, R/o H.No 2161, Sector 21C, Chandigarh

.....Appellant

..Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o Punjab Information and Communication Technology Corporation Ltd, Udyog Bhawan, Sector 17, Chandigarh First Appellate Authority, GM, Punjab Information and Communication Technology Corporation Ltd, Udyog Bhawan, Sector 17, Chandigarh

AC No. 1957 of 2020 Through CISCO-WEBEX

Present : (i) Sh. Atul Goyal the appellant

(ii) Sh. Ajay Pal Singh, Counsel for the respondent (9876644280)

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 28.09.2020 passed by the Commission.

2. Sh. Atul Goyal the appellant states that he is not satisfied with the information provided by the respondent.

3. Respondent Sh. Ajay Pal Singh states that they have sent their reply to the Commission office.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, it is observed that the respondent has sent his proper reply to the Commission vide diary no. 13138 dated 30.09.2020.

5. It is one of the allegations of the Appellant that the First Appellate Authority did not pass any order, on the first appeal filed by him. I have carefully perused the documents on record. Before proceeding/parting with the order, I would wish to place on record that the First Appellate Authority did not take any action on the appeal of the applicant. He neither summoned the parties nor did he pass any order, which shows that the First Appellate Authority (FAA) has not acted as per the mandate of the RTI Act, 2005. This inaction on the part of the First Appellate Authority (FAA) needs to be depreciated and it is hoped that the authorities entrusted with judiciary duties under the Act show more sense of responsibility



AC No. 1957 of 2020

and respect for the rights of the citizens because the First Appellate Authority (FAA) has not addressed questions of Appellant, which are of direct concern to the Public Authority. Therefore, the Commission remands this case to First Appellate Authority (FAA) i.e GM, Punjab Information and Communication Technology, Corporation Ltd, Udyog Bhawan, Sector 17, Chandigarh, who is directed to dispose of the appeal of Sh. Atul Goyal.

6. The Commission, hereby, directs the FAA to treat the copy of the appeal (enclosed herewith) as the First Appeal and decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act after giving all concerned parties an opportunity to be heard.

7. The FAA is also directed to peruse all the relevant documents during the hearing and examine whether the information provided by the PIO is complete, relevant and correct. Where the FAA is satisfied that the information provided by the PIO is as per the records, the First Appeal shall be disposed of. In the event, there are any deficiencies in the information provided by the PIO to provide the complete information in reply to the RTI application dated 04.03.2011 to the Appellant.

8. If not satisfied with the information provided on his appeal, Appellant will be free to move a second appeal before the Commission as per Section 19(3) of the RTI Act 2005.

9. In view of the above, no further cause of action is left and the complaint is **closed and disposed of.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated :03.11.2020

(Preety Chawla) State Information Commissioner Punjab



Sh. Kuldip Kumar Kaura, Retd. Lecturer # 5C, Phase 1, Urban Estate Focal Point, Ludhiana

Vs.

Public Information Officer,

O/o Food Safety Commissioner, Near civil Hospital, Kharar Distt. SAS Nagar, Mohali

First Appellate Authority

O/o Food Safety Commissioner, Near civil Hospital, Kharar Distt. SAS Nagar, Mohali

-----Respondent

-----Appellant

<u>Appeal Case No. 3388 of 2019</u>

Through CISCO WEBEX

Present: None for the parties.

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 28.09.2020 passed by the Commission.

2. An email has been received from the respondent mentioning therein that the appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

3. Since, the appellant Sh. Kuldip Kumar Kaura has received the information and is satisfied, no cause of action is left, the appeal filed by the appellant is therefore **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated :03.11.2020

(Preety Chawla) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Sh Kashmir Singh, (M- 7710639122) S/o Sh Swaran Singh, R/o 220 E, Makkhan Wandi, Amritsar- 143501

..Vs

.....Appellant

রন দুরু

nformati

Puty Punjab

Public Information Officer, O/o Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar

First Appellate Authority, O/o Vice Chancellor, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar

.....Respondent

AC No. 1982 of 2020

Present: (i) None for the Appellant (ii) For the Respondent: Sh Sarabjit Singh, Supdt. (94178-54691)

ORDERS

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 28.09.2020.

- 2. The Appellant is not present today.
- 3. The Respondent states that the information has already been provided to the Appellant.
- 4. The perusal of the file shows that the Appellant is not present today. He was not present on the previous date of hearing also. The Respondent states that the information has been provided to the Appellant. The Respondent has also given in writing that the information has already been provided to the appellant . Hence, it may be presumed that the Appellant is satisfied with the information provided.
- 5. In view of the foregoing, no further cause of action is left. Hence, the Appeal case filed by the Appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Preety Chawla) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Dated : 03.11.2020

